

The Commission has made findings of serious corrupt conduct against Vincenzo Badalati, Constantine Hindi, Philip Sansom and Ching Wah (Philip) Uy.

The Commission found that Vincenzo Badalati engaged in serious corrupt conduct by:

- travelling to Tangshan, China, in April 2016 in circumstances where he knew his status as a public official with HCC would be misused for the purpose of endorsing and promoting the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments in the interests of One Capital Group Pty Ltd, Wensheng Liu, Yuqing Liu and Mr Uy (chapter 5)
- accepting the following benefits associated with his trip to China in April 2016:
 - (i) payment by Mr Uy for his accommodation at the Beijing International Hotel on the nights of 10 and 13 April 2016 in the amount of about \$150 per night (approximately \$300 total)
 - (ii) payment by Mr Uy for his flight from Shenzhen to Beijing on 10 April 2016 in the amount of about \$363
 - (iii) payment by Yuqing Liu or his company, Tangshan Xinfeng Thermolectric Group Co Ltd (“Xinfeng”), for his accommodation at the Tangshan Grand Metropark Guofeng Hotel on the nights of 11 and 12 April 2016 in the amount of about \$200 per night (approximately \$400 in total)
 - (iv) payment by Yuqing Liu or Xinfeng for his return transfers in luxury cars between Beijing and Tangshan on 11 and 13 April 2016 and meals in Tangshan between 11 and 12 April 2016

in circumstances where he knew such payments were intended as an inducement or reward to use his position as a councillor of Hurstville City Council (HCC) to favour the interests of Mr Uy and the proponents of the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 5)

- attending a meeting of HCC on 19 November 2014 at which the Treacy Street Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) proposal was considered and voting in favour of the Treacy Street VPA proposal but deliberately failing to disclose his significant non-pecuniary interest in the development by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy (chapter 5)
- attending a meeting of HCC on 20 April 2016 at which the Landmark Square planning proposal and the VPA offer for the Treacy Street modification application were considered and voting in favour of the Landmark Square planning proposal and the Treacy Street modification but deliberately failing to disclose his significant non-pecuniary interest in the developments by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy and Wensheng Liu (chapter 5)
- attending a meeting of the Sydney East Joint Regional Planning Panel (JRPP) on 4 May 2016 at which the Treacy Street modification was considered and voting in favour of the Treacy Street modification but deliberately failing to disclose his

significant non-pecuniary interest in the development by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy and Wensheng Liu (chapter 5)

- accepting the sum of \$70,000 from Mr Uy in 2015 in relation to the Treacy Street development and \$100,000 in 2018 in relation to the Landmark Square development in each case as an inducement or reward to use, or for having used, his position as a councillor of HCC and later Georges River Council (GRC) to favour the interests of Mr Uy and the proponents of the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 6)
- attending a meeting of GRC on 25 June 2018 at which both the Landmark Square planning proposal and another modification application for the Treacy Street development were considered and voting in favour of the Landmark Square planning proposal and the modification application for the Treacy Street development but deliberately failing to disclose his significant non-pecuniary interest in the developments by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy and Wensheng Liu (chapter 8).

The Commission found that Constantine Hindi engaged in serious corrupt conduct by:

The Commission found that Mr Hindi engaged in serious corrupt conduct by:

- travelling to Tangshan, China, in April 2016 in circumstances where he knew his status as a public official with HCC would be misused for the purpose of endorsing and promoting the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments in the interests of One Capital, Wensheng Liu, Yuqing Liu and Mr Uy (chapter 5)
- accepting the following benefits associated with his trip to China in April 2016:
 - (i) payment by Mr Uy for the accommodation of himself and his wife Mireille Hindi at the Beijing International Hotel on the nights of 10 and 13 April 2016 in the amount of about \$150 per night (approximately \$300 total)
 - (ii) payment by Mr Uy for the flights of himself and his wife from Shenzhen to Beijing on 10 April 2016 in the amount of about \$363 (approximately \$726 total)
 - (iii) payment by Yuqing Liu or Xinfeng for the accommodation of himself and his wife at the Tangshan Grand Metropark Guofeng Hotel on the nights of 11 and 12 April 2016 in the amount of about \$200 per night (approximately \$400 total)
 - (iv) payment by Yuqing Liu or Xinfeng for the return transfers in luxury cars of himself and his wife between Beijing and Tangshan on 11 and 13 April 2016 and meals in Tangshan between 11 and 12 April 2016

in circumstances where he knew such payments were intended as an inducement or reward to use his position as a councillor of HCC to favour the interests of Mr Uy and the proponents of the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 5)

- attending a meeting of HCC on 20 April 2016 at which the Landmark Square planning proposal and the VPA offer for the Treacy Street modification application were considered and voting in favour of the Landmark Square planning proposal and the Treacy Street modification application but deliberately failing to disclose:
 - (i) his pecuniary interest in the Landmark Square planning proposal, by virtue of Mrs Hindi's interest in that development pursuant to a Buyer's Agency Agreement (BAA) between One Capital and Mrs Hindi's real estate agency under which Mrs Hindi stood to gain \$500,000 ex GST
 - (ii) his significant non-pecuniary interest in the developments by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy and Wensheng Liu (chapter 5)

- attending a meeting of the JRPP on 4 May 2016 at which the Treacy Street modification application was considered and voting in favour of the Treacy Street modification but deliberately failing to disclose:
 - (i) his pecuniary interest in the Landmark Square planning proposal, by virtue of Mrs Hindi's interest in that development pursuant to a BAA under which Mrs Hindi stood to gain \$500,000 ex GST
 - (ii) his significant non-pecuniary interest in the development by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy and Wensheng Liu (chapter 5)
- accepting the sum of approximately \$70,000 from Mr Uy in 2015 in relation to the Treacy Street development and \$100,000 in 2018 in relation to the Landmark Square development in each case as an inducement or reward to use, or for having used, his position as a councillor of HCC and later GRC to favour the interests of Mr Uy and the proponents of the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 6)
- attending a meeting of GRC on 25 June 2018 at which both the Landmark Square planning proposal and another modification application for the Treacy Street development were considered and voting in favour of the Landmark Square planning proposal and the modification application for the Treacy Street development but deliberately failing to disclose his significant non-pecuniary interest in the developments by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy and Wensheng Liu (chapter 8).

The Commission found that Philip Sansom engaged in serious corrupt conduct by:

- attending a meeting of HCC on 19 November 2014 at which the Treacy Street VPA proposal was considered and voting in favour of the Treacy Street VPA proposal but deliberately failing to disclose his significant non-pecuniary interest in the development by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy (chapter 5)
- attending a meeting of HCC on 20 April 2016 at which the Landmark Square planning proposal and the VPA offer for the Treacy Street modification application were considered and voting in favour of the Landmark Square planning proposal and the Treacy Street modification but deliberately failing to disclose his significant non-pecuniary interest in the developments by virtue of his relationship with Mr Uy and Wensheng Liu (chapter 5)
- accepting payment from Mr Uy for his and Mr Sansom's partner Wang Hui's return flights for a trip to China in March and April 2014 in circumstances where he knew such payment was intended to influence him in carrying out his official functions including in relation to the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 5).

The Commission found that Ching Wah (Philip) Uy engaged in serious corrupt conduct by:

- providing the following benefits to Mr Badalati and Mr and Mrs Hindi:
 - (i) payment for accommodation for Mr Badalati and Mr and Mrs Hindi at the Beijing International Hotel on the nights of 10 and 13 April 2016 in the amount of about \$150 per room per night (approximately \$600 total)
 - (ii) payment for the flights for Mr Badalati and Mr and Mrs Hindi from Shenzhen to Beijing on 10 April 2016 in the amount of about \$363 per person (approximately \$1,089 total)

in circumstances where he intended the payments to be an inducement or reward to Mr Badalati and Mr Hindi to use their position as councillors of HCC to favour the interests of Mr Uy and the proponents of the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 5)

- paying for Mr Sansom and his partner Wang Hui's return flights for the trip to China departing 23 March 2014 and returning 6 April 2014 in circumstances where he knew such payment was intended to influence Mr Sansom in carrying out his official functions including in relation to the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 5)
- paying the sum of \$70,000 to Mr Badalati in 2015 in relation to the Treacy Street development and \$100,000 in 2018 in relation to the Landmark Square development in each case as an inducement or reward to use, or for having used, his position as a councillor of HCC to favour the interests of Mr Uy and the proponents of the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 6)
- paying the sum of approximately \$70,000 to Mr Hindi in 2015 in relation to the Treacy Street development and \$100,000 in 2018 in relation to the Landmark Square development in each case as an inducement or reward to use, or for having used, his position as a councillor of HCC to favour the interests of Mr Uy and the proponents of the Treacy Street and Landmark Square developments (chapter 6).